

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/955,049 09/19/2001		Kazuki Matsui	1405.1048	8501	
	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			FISCHETTI,	FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		3627		
*			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	 -	
09/955,049	MATSUI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph A. Fischetti	3627		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	<u></u>						
and a ming of an Appour Biller	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ross				
THE REPLY FILED US May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) Li The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 07(6)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FII	the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILED	OWITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) n, even if timely filed, may	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expenses a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS.	e filed within the time period set for	, to avoid dismissal o th in 37 CFR 41.37(a	f the appeal. a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a company of the			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will ided below or appended.	be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>2-4</i> .			<i>:</i>				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1 and 5-18</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	or other evidence is	necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF USE. 	and was not earlier presented. So	and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)					
*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Joseph A. Fischetti Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627					

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's asserion of not providing "any" support for the inherency observation is false. The basis for the inherency statement was set forth in the office action by reference to the passage in col. 6 lines 61-63. Applicant points out that the observation is one based on INHERENCY and NOT Official Notice, the latter of which section 2144 only applies. Applicant should not mischaracterized the examiner's statement as being based on personal knowledge because he provides a specific reference to the specification in the art col. 6 lines 61-63 on which the inherency observation was made.

The examiner has provided the analysis for the combination as set forth in the office action. The examiner was not obligated under the Office rules to give final remarks because the applicant amended the claims in his amendment dated 1/18 05 thus necessitating a new grounds of rejection. Page 5, lines 9-12 of the Final Action set forth reasons for motivation and are deemed a sufficient response, outside of an examiner's answer.

Applicant's statements on pages 10 and 11, argue meaning of claims which exceed their scope. The examiner is given far more broad reaching interpreation to the language of the claims than found in applicant's remarks.

2